Coupling of energy system models with LCA data: approach, challenges and first results

Knowledge for Tomorrow

Method and case study on the German energy system

Workshop on InNOSys: Integrated sustainability assessment and optimization of energy systems

Karlsruhe, May 16th 2019

Tobias Junne, Tobias Naegler

Motivation





Motivation

General challenges

Future energy systems require to consider:

- Access to energy
- Security of supply
- Affordability
- Influence on job allocation
- Acceptance
- Environmental burden shifting
- \implies Existing studies focus on costs + directly emitted CO₂
 - \Rightarrow There is a need to combine multi-dimensional indicators and energy system models





Motivation

General challenges

Future energy systems require to consider:

- Access to energy
- Security of supply
- Affordability
- Influence on job allocation
- Acceptance
- Environmental burden shifting

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

 \Rightarrow Existing studies focus on costs + directly emitted CO₂

There is a need to combine multi-dimensional indicators and energy system models





Motivation

Assessment of environmental burden shifting

Consideration of environmental impacts from *all* phases of a product's life cycle including upstream and downstream processes





Methods





Methods

Introduction in life cycle assessment (LCA) - Life cycle impact assessment (LCIA)



DLR

Methods

Need for prospective indicators



L. Vandepaer and T. Gibon, "The integration of energy scenarios into LCA: LCM2017 Conference Workshop, Luxembourg, September 5, 2017," The International Journal of Life Cycle Assessment, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 970–977, 2018.

Methods

Global energy scenario (foreground):

- Electricity and heat generation
- Newly installed capacities and decommissioning (electricity, heat)
- Vehicle fleet development + fuel consumption
- Technical parameters of technologies





Methods

Dynamization of life cycle inventory



Development of average full load hours of gas-fired power plants

Reduction in capacity utilization:

- Reduced environmental impact (EI) during operation
- Unchanged El Construction & Deconstruction
- Separate El in construction, operation and dismantling to adapt to capacity utilization according to the scenario!
- Year-specific assignment of El possible

Methods



In the event that energy sources are generated in the foreground system (electricity, district heating, synthetic gases and fuels):

- Separate the input of these energy sources from the (end-) consumption technologies
- Environmental impact separately determined using these energy sources in (end-) consumption technologies by modelling of markets in the LCI-databse
- \Rightarrow Adjustment of energy carrier mix to scenario assumptions possible

Methods

Global energy scenario (foreground):

- Electricity and heat generation
- Newly installed capacities and decommissioning (electricity, heat)
- Vehicle fleet development + fuel consumption
- Technical parameters of technologies





Methods

Adaption of background database - Energy [R]evolution scenarios





Background database

Effects of prospective electricity mix used as input to all processes in the LCI-database

Global electricity mix - impact/kWh





Challenges (I)

Database and methodology

Database and LCIs

- Old data and limited number of technologies (few storage technologies, wind turbines with PM), remodelling required
- Low geographical resolution in ecoinvent (e.g. only global production of power plants)
- It is not always possible to fully match the technologies of the energy system model and the LCI database
- Some emissions from conversion processes are missing in the LCI database (e.g. burning of biodiesel in motor vehicles)

Methodology

- No adjustment of transport and heat supply to specific (scenario) regions possible due to the structure of the database
- Double counting of emissions



Challenges (II)

Need for flexible, customized software

Software

- Computing speed and flexibility
- Automated Python interface with openLCA for parameterization and calculation of technologies (thereby relying on GreenDelta)









Results



Environmental impacts in the upstream supply chains become more relevant with increasing (direct) GHG reductions



Increased resource requirements due to the energy system transformation process



Increased impacts on human health due to the energy system transformation process



Systematic comparison of different transformation strategies





Take away



 There are still many challenges in assessing transformation paths of the energy system with LCAbased indicators

... Nevertheless, such an assessment metric is indispensable in future studies

We can quantitatively show that

Defossilisation strategies

... do not automatically solve all environmental issues. They have low **GWP100 (CO₂eq.)**, but rely on severe **land-use** and **resource depletion**

Most environmental friendly solutions

... have a **low** share of PV in power generation and low shares of Li-lon storages, but **high** shares of wind generation and grid expansion

... also consider hydrogen mobility (next to BEVs) for the defossilisation of the transport sector





Questions to the audience

- Is the method of process based LCA suitable to assess the environmental burdens of large scale system transformations?
 - What kind of models have to be added (e.g. to capture economic impacts of the system transformation)
 - What kind of databases have to be added (such as IO tables)?
- How can we quantitatively assess co-benefits and adverse side effects between indicators?
 - Bivariate statistical regression analysis or multivariate approaches?
- How can we get more inventory results, will there be open data platforms?





Outlook

Assessment of trade-offs between system costs and LCA-based environmental impacts





